the FCC should to impose vules No. of Copies recid List ABCDE



2008 APR 28 P 2: 39

4/16/2008

Chairman Kevin J. Martin
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554
Re: In the Matter of Broadcast Localism (MB Docket No. 04-233)

Dear Chairman Martin.

I am writing to you today in response to the recent information that the Federal Communications Commission is considering a radical reregulation of America's local broadcasters.

I believe that additional mandates on local broadcasters are not only unnecessary, but would also be burdensome and potentially offer the opposite results of those intended. Our experience with Clear Channel San Francisco/San Jose has been very positive, and their ten stations – KIOI-FM, KISQ-FM, KKGN-AM, KKSF-FM, KMEL-FM, KNEW-AM, and KYLD-FM, KSJO-FM, KUFX-FM, and KCNL-FM - go above and beyond what is mandated to serve the local community.

Clear Channel San Francisco/San Jose donates PSAs to local non-profit and community service organizations such as ours. In addition, the Clear Channel San Francisco/San Jose stations have partnered with local charities and organizations to help raise money for the local community. I

Recipient AT&T / Energy 92.7 FM Community Hero Spotlight Award

2007 Winner PEOPLE Magazine & Maybelline New York Empowerment Through Education Contest No. of Copies rec'd <u>O</u> List ABCDE



have personally experienced not only the gratuity, but the support of Clear Channel San Francisco/San Jose through numerous occasions.

Chairman Martin, I appreciate you taking our views into consideration during the ongoing proceeding. Along with the local broadcasters, I urge you not to impose the burdensome rules that could well hamper radio's ability to continue their community service work.

Thank you for your consideration.

DreamBIG,

Janine Lee

Founder and CEO

Capture the Dream, Inc.

"Fostering hope, love, and support to capture dreams."

J4L FOUNDATION, INC.



April 14, 2008

Chairman Kevin J. Martin Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: In the Matter of Broadcast Localism (MB Docket No. 04-233)

Dear Chairman Martin,

I am writing to you today in response to the recent information that The Federal Communications Commission is considering a radical re-regulation of America's local broadcasters. I believe that additional mandates on local broadcasters are not only unnecessary, but would also be burdensome and potentially offer the opposite results of those intended. Our experience with Clear Channel San Francisco/San Jose has been very positive, and their ten stations – KIOI-FM, KISQ-FM, KKGN-AM, KKSF-FM, KMEL-FM, KNEW-AM, and KYLD-FM, KSJO-FM, KUFX-FM, and KCNL-FM - go above and beyond what is mandated to serve the local community.

Clear Channel San Francisco/San Jose donates PSAs to local non-profit and community service organizations such as ours. In addition, the Clear Channel San Francisco/San Jose stations have partnered with local charities and organizations to help raise money for the local community.

Chairman Martin, I appreciate you taking our views into consideration during the ongoing proceeding. Along with the local broadcasters, I urge you not to impose the burdensome rules that could well hamper radio's ability to continue their community service work.

Sincerely,

La Trina L. Reed

La Trina L. Reed Executive Operations Director/ Co-Founder- J4L Foundation, Inc. 510.978.3343 direct hopeforouryouth@yahoo.com No. of Caples rec'd *C*

J4L Foundation Tax ID# 20-4791402 www.myspace.com/J4L Foundation

BOSKET FILE CUTY ORIGINAL

Comments in Response to Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking MB Docket No. 04-233

APR 2 8 2008

I submit the following comments in response to the Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (the "NPRM"), released Jan. 24, 2008, in MB Docket No. 04-233.

Any new FCC rules, policies or procedures must not violate First Amendment rights. A number of proposals discussed in the NPRM, if enacted, would do so – and must not be adopted.

- (1) The FCC must not force radio stations, especially religious broadcasters, to take advice from people who do not share their values. The NPRM's proposed advisory board proposals would impose such unconstitutional mandates. Religious broadcasters who resist advice from those who don't share their values could face increased harassment, complaints and even loss of license for choosing to follow their own consciences, rather than allowing incompatible viewpoints to shape their programming. The First Amendment prohibits government, including the FCC, from dictating what viewpoints a broadcaster, particularly a religious broadcaster, must present.
- (2) The FCC <u>must not</u> turn every radio station into a public forum where anyone and everyone has rights to air time. Proposed public access requirements would do so even if a religious broadcaster conscientiously objects to the message. The First Amendment forbids imposition of message delivery mandates on any religion.
- (3) The FCC must not force revelation of specific editorial decision-making information. The choice of programming, especially religious programming, is not properly dictated by any government agency and proposals to force reporting on such things as who produced what programs would intrude on constitutionally-protected editorial choices.
- (4) The FCC must not establish a two-tiered renewal system in which certain licensees would be automatically barred from routine renewal application processing. The proposed mandatory special renewal review of certain classes of applicants by the Commissioners themselves would amount to coercion of religious broadcasters. Those who stay true to their consciences and present only the messages they correspond to their beliefs could face long, expensive and potentially ruinous renewal proceedings.
- (5) Many Christian broadcasters operate on tight budgets, as do many smaller market secular stations. Keeping the electricity flowing is often a challenge. Yet, the Commission proposes to further squeeze niche and smaller market broadcasters, by substantially raising costs in two ways: (a) by requiring staff presence whenever a station is on the air and, (b) by further restricting main studio location choices. Raising costs with these proposals would force service cutbacks and curtailed service is contrary to the public interest.

We urge the FCC not to adopt rules, procedures or policies discussed above

we dige the FOC not to adopt full	s, procedures or policies discussed above.
James Jannes) Signature	<u>4-20-08</u> Date
Joyce Larner	7153 Darnell In. Address Greenlele, 30 53129
Name	414-421-3504 Phone
Title (if any)	
Organization (if any)	No. of Copies rec'd

Comments in Response to Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking MB Docket No. 04-233

APR 2 8 2008

I submit the following comments in response to the Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (the "NPRM"), released Jan. 24, 2008, in MB Docket No. 04-233.

Any new FCC rules, policies or procedures must not violate First Amendment rights. A number of proposals discussed in the NPRM, if enacted, would do so – and must not be adopted.

- (1) The FCC must not force radio stations, especially religious broadcasters, to take advice from people who do not share their values. The NPRM's proposed advisory board proposals would impose such unconstitutional mandates. Religious broadcasters who resist advice from those who don't share their values could face increased harassment, complaints and even loss of license for choosing to follow their own consciences, rather than allowing incompatible viewpoints to shape their programming. The First Amendment prohibits government, including the FCC, from dictating what viewpoints a broadcaster, particularly a religious broadcaster, must present.
- (2) The FCC <u>must not</u> turn every radio station into a public forum where anyone and everyone has rights to air time. Proposed public access requirements would do so even if a religious broadcaster conscientiously objects to the message. The First Amendment forbids imposition of message delivery mandates on any religion.
- (3) The FCC must not force revelation of specific editorial decision-making information. The choice of programming, especially religious programming, is not properly dictated by any government agency and proposals to force reporting on such things as who produced what programs would intrude on constitutionally-protected editorial choices.
- (4) The FCC must not establish a two-tiered renewal system in which certain licensees would be automatically barred from routine renewal application processing. The proposed mandatory special renewal review of certain classes of applicants by the Commissioners themselves would amount to coercion of religious broadcasters. Those who stay true to their consciences and present only the messages they correspond to their beliefs could face long, expensive and potentially ruinous renewal proceedings.
- (5) Many Christian broadcasters operate on tight budgets, as do many smaller market secular stations. Keeping the electricity flowing is often a challenge. Yet, the Commission proposes to further squeeze niche and smaller market broadcasters, by substantially raising costs in two ways: (a) by requiring staff presence whenever a station is on the air and, (b) by further restricting main studio location choices. Raising costs with these proposals would force service cutbacks and curtailed service is contrary to the public interest.

We urge the FCC not to adopt rules, procedures or policies discussed above.

Robje Larner	4/20/08
Signature	Date /
Robin Larner	7153 Darnell Land Address Greendale WI 53129
Name	 (414) 421-350 4 Phone
Title (if any)	
Organization (if any)	No. of Copies rec'd

Comments in Response to Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking MB Docket No. 04-233

APR 2 8 2008

I submit the following comments in response to the Localism Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (the "NPRM"), released Jan. 24, 2008, in MB Docket No. 04-233.

Any new FCC rules, policies or procedures must not violate First Amendment rights. A number of proposals discussed in the NPRM, if enacted, would do so – and must not be adopted.

- (1) The FCC must not force radio stations, especially religious broadcasters, to take advice from people who do not share their values. The NPRM's proposed advisory board proposals would impose such unconstitutional mandates. Religious broadcasters who resist advice from those who don't share their values could face increased harassment, complaints and even loss of license for choosing to follow their own consciences, rather than allowing incompatible viewpoints to shape their programming. The First Amendment prohibits government, including the FCC, from dictating what viewpoints a broadcaster, particularly a religious broadcaster, must present.
- (2) The FCC <u>must not</u> turn every radio station into a public forum where anyone and everyone has rights to air time. Proposed public access requirements would do so even if a religious broadcaster conscientiously objects to the message. The First Amendment forbids imposition of message delivery mandates on any religion.
- (3) The FCC must not force revelation of specific editorial decision-making information. The choice of programming, especially religious programming, is not properly dictated by any government agency and proposals to force reporting on such things as who produced what programs would intrude on constitutionally-protected editorial choices.
- (4) The FCC must not establish a two-tiered renewal system in which certain licensees would be automatically barred from routine renewal application processing. The proposed mandatory special renewal review of certain classes of applicants by the Commissioners themselves would amount to coercion of religious broadcasters. Those who stay true to their consciences and present only the messages they correspond to their beliefs could face long, expensive and potentially ruinous renewal proceedings.
- (5) Many Christian broadcasters operate on tight budgets, as do many smaller market secular stations. Keeping the electricity flowing is often a challenge. Yet, the Commission proposes to further squeeze niche and smaller market broadcasters, by substantially raising costs in two ways: (a) by requiring staff presence whenever a station is on the air and, (b) by further restricting main studio location choices. Raising costs with these proposals would force service cutbacks and curtailed service is contrary to the public interest.

We urge the FCC not to adopt rules, procedu	res or policies discussed above.
Thelma RMore	April 20, 2008
Signature	_
thetine L. Moore	Rt7 Box 130 Address Olive Hill, & 41164
Name	(LO6) 238-4044 Phone
Title (if any)	
Organization (if any)	

04-233

April 21, 2008

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

APR 2 8 2008

FCC-MAILROCM

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I usually do not get involved with political issues such as this one concerning Christian radio and religious programming. It is very important to me that I speak out because religious programming is so vital in my life and that of my husband. It keeps me grounded in my beliefs about God and family values. Frankly, there is not enough of this in secular television. I urge you strongly to keep freedom of speech free and do not tamper with Christian radio and religious programming. Thank you very much for reading my letter.

Sincerely yours,

Judy H. Oldo

Ms. Cheryl Brannan 16-08

GOD BLESS AMERICA

Ms. Cheryl Brannan

K-Love-How-weahis enoughby West to

alive on the air-

that includes the government

He's in charge wallows seven



GOD BLESS AMERICA

Ms. Cheryl Brannan GOD BLESS AMERICA

Ms. Cheryl Brannan GOD BLESS AMERICA